UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/704,838	11/01/2000	Ronald E. Sloan	60021-375901 4308		
	7590 10/30/200 R WOLFF & DONNE	EXAMINER			
PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300 45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609			APPLE, KIRSTEN SACHWITZ		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3694		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		10/30/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Astion Communication		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/704,83	38	SLOAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		KIRSTEN	S. APPLE	3694				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the part of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even. In. eriod will apply and westatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	04 August 2008	1					
-	-	This action is n						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·						
· ·		ation						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election r	equirement					
		ria/or election i	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Exai							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infori	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/8/08 & 8/4/08	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838 Page 2

Art Unit: 3694

Detailed Action

This action is in response to the Remarks response filed on 8/4/08.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The Examiner has read and reviewed all of the information provided by the Applicant.

The examiner rejects as final claims 1-25 under 35 USC 103.

The Applicant attention is re-drawn to the following:

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Wolfberg et al. (US Patent No. 5,214,579), in view of Sullivan et al. (US Patent No. 6,615, 240), and Farry et al. (US Patent No. 6,069,628).

Claims 1, 9, 10, and 18:

Wolfberg discloses a method, a system, and a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for providing a graphical user interface to a financial modeling system allowing advice through projections (Col. 3, line 63-col. 4, line 10, col. 10, lines 40-55, col. 11, lines 27-29, col. 12, lines 38-49, col. 16, lines 4-41, col. 19, lines 24-35, col. 29, lines 18-38, col. 30, lines 3-44) and trends (Col. 23, lines 37-54) of a financial system (Col. 4, line 9-col. 5, line 11) based on a network architecture (Col. 7, line 53-col. 8, line 9), which determine the expectations (Col. 17, line 65-col

Art Unit: 3694

18, line 20) and impact (Col. 1, line 55-col. 2, line 12, col. 2, line 42) of investment decisions. Including life intentions being received as revenue and expense goals (Col. 3, line 63-col. 4, line 10, col. 10, lines 40-55, col. 11, lines 27-29, col. 12, lines 38-49, col. 16, lines 4-41, col. 19, lines 24-35, col. 29, lines 18-38, col. 30, lines 3-44) and modification to life intentions (Col. 1 line 55-69 "supplemented" + col. 9 line 26-37) Wolfberg does not exclude that his invention could be used to give advice, as a help-system for the investors, but he does not explicitly disclose this possibility of use.

Sullivan discloses an Internet-based system (Col. 1, line 18-col. 2, line 4, col. 4, lines 5762, col. 5, lines 5-38, col. 13, lines 36-51, col. 14, lines 22-23) that support both live advice & providing communication interface (or live help, Abstract, col. 3, lines 36-62, col. 4, line 63-col. 5, line 4, col. 6, lines 20-63, col. 7, lines 12-28, col. 8, lines 9-22, col. 10, line 22-col. 12, line 12, col. 13, line 56-col. 14, line 63, col. 15, line 1-col. 16, line 67, col. 17, line 8-col. 18, line 10) and automated coaching & live coaching (Abstract, col. 6, tines 39-50, col. 12, lines 39-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the Wolfberg invention was made to use it for a live advice and automated coaching. One would have been motivated to use for live advice and automated coaching the Wolfberg invention in order to maximize its applications, and consequently, the revenues that result from its use.

The Wolfberg-Sullivan invention does not explicitly disclose providing a first window for displaying an image of said live advisor; and providing a second window for displaying context sensitive automated coaching. Ferry discloses a system which provides financial system services (Col. 4, lines 23-64) using a first window (Col. 2, lines 23-55, col. 14, lines

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838

Art Unit: 3694

50-67, col. 16, lines 21-39) and a second window (Col. 2, lines 28-49, col. 14, lines 50-67, col. 16, lines 2139). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the Wolfberg-Sullivan invention was made to use this step. One would have been motivated to use this step in the Wolfberg-Sullivan invention in order to facilitate the search of advice for the user.

Page 4

The Wolfberg-Sullivan-Ferry invention does not explicitly disclose providing a plurality of icons for selecting at least one of a plurality of methods for communicating with a live advisor over the Internet. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well-known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Claims 2, 11, and 19: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18. Wolfberg discloses a network architecture (see Claim 1 above) which could be also the Internet network. Sullivan explicitly discloses Internet (see Claim 1 above), and it is well known that the Internet network is a plurality of methods for communication that includes email, electronic chat, live streaming audio, voice over the network, telephone, still picture, and streaming live video. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the Wolfberg invention to use this step. One would have been motivated to use this step in the in order to increase the possibilities of communication for the user.

Claims 3, 12 and 20: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838

Art Unit: 3694

Wolfberg also discloses an user interface that includes providing one interactive window for displaying a financial model generated by the financial modeling system (Fig 9C).

Page 5

Claims 4, 13, and 21: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 3, 12, and 20. Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry do not explicitly disclose that the user interface includes a plurality of function icons for performing various operations on said generated financial model. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well-known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Claims 5, 14, and 22: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 4, 13, and 21. Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry do not explicitly disclose that the function icons include providing at least one of transact, monitor, model, explore, track. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well-known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Claims 6, 15, and 23: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 3, 12, and 20. Wolfberg also discloses that the user interface includes at least one dynamic window for displaying characteristics related to the generated financial model (Fig. 9C, 10A, 10B, and 10 C). Claims 7, 16, and 24: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18. Sullivan discloses a Financial system service Internet-based the wherein said user interface that includes providing at least one interactive window for displaying help messages related to

Page 6

using the financial modeling system, as is used in many automated coaching systems (see discussion on Claim 1 above).

Claims 8, 17, and 25: Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry disclose the method, the system, and the computer program embodied on a computer readable medium of claims 1, 9, and 18. Wolfberg, Sullivan, and Ferry does not explicitly disclose wherein said user interface further includes providing at least one profile icon for performing various operations on a user profile based on user information. The examiner takes Official notice that this feature is old and well known in the computer art because it is very easy for a user to pick an icon instead of giving a command to a financial program by different way.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 14, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, and respect to Claim 1 the Applicant argued: prior art does not teach new limitation of Claim 1 including "communication component receives from user responses to all coaching, both automated and live, and future that this captured responses 'comprises modification to the life intentions initially received from the user"

The Examiner refutes the argument made by the Applicant and draws the attention to Wolfberg column 1, line 55-69. The applicant argued that Wolfberg had "initial values" but the very next line showed that these would be update "by supplemented by monthly investments". Column 9, line 27-38 show how many other updates or changes are made in the invention.

Examiner Comments

In addition the examiner would like to note that while she choose Walker as a solid prior art reference the examiner believe this application reads on lots of prior art and more important

to that what happens in practice in practically every financial service company that offers any kind of "private client services". For example, American Express or Merrill Lynch offers a similar service of taking data ("life goals") of their customers and providing reports to help them understand their financial position. If thing change the client call or communicates (such as the "life coach") the change. It is important to note that simply automating an existing method that have been happening in the field for years does not make it novel. In other words, taking the patent filling or ticketing process and simply transferring the documents electronic does not make this a novel invention. In summary there is lots of prior art and more importantly existing business processes that this application reads on.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten S. Apple whose telephone number is 571.272.5588. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/704,838

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-6126.

Art Unit: 3694

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ksa

/Mary Cheung/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694